arXiv:1507.08390v1 [math.AP] 30 Jul 2015

Oblique derivative problem for non-divergence parabolic equations with discontinuous in time coefficients in a wedge

Vladimir Kozlov* and Alexander Nazarov†

Abstract

We consider an oblique derivative problem in a wedge for non-divergence parabolic equations with discontinuous in t coefficients. We obtain weighted coercive estimates of solutions in anisotropic Sobolev spaces.

1 Introduction

Consider the parabolic differential operator

$$\mathcal{L} \equiv \partial_t - a^{ij}(t) D_i D_j, \tag{1}$$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and the convention regarding summation from 1 to n with respect to repeated indices is adopted. Here and elsewhere D_i denotes the operator of differentiation with respect to x_i, $i = 1, \dots, n$, $D = (D_1, \dots, D_n)$, and ∂_t denotes differentiation with respect to t. We assume that a^{ij} are measurable real valued functions of t satisfying $a^{ij} = a^{ji}$ and

$$\nu|\xi|^2 \le a^{ij}\xi_i\xi_j \le \nu^{-1}|\xi|^2, \qquad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \nu = \text{const} > 0. \tag{2}$$

---

*Department of Mathematics, University of Linköping, SE-581 83 Linköping, Sweden

†St.-Petersburg Department of Steklov Mathematical Institute, Fontanka, 27, St.-Petersburg, 191023, Russia, and St.-Petersburg State University, Universitetskii pr. 28, St.-Petersburg, 198504, Russia

In [5], [6] it was shown by Krylov that for coercive estimates of $\partial_t u$ and $D(Du)$ one needs no smoothness assumptions on coefficients a^{ij} with respect to t. The only assumption which is needed is estimate (2). Solvability results in the whole space $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ for equation (1) in $L_{p,q}$ spaces were proved in [5]; solvability of the Dirichlet problem in the half-space $\mathbb{R}^n_+ \times \mathbb{R}$ in weighted $L_{p,q}$ spaces was established by Krylov [6] for particular range of weights and by the authors [7] for the whole range of weights. Similar estimates for the oblique derivative problem in a half-space are obtained in [9], see also [2].

This paper addresses solvability results for the boundary value problems to (1) in the wedge. Namely, let $n \geq m \geq 2$ and let K be a cone in $\mathbb{R}^m$. We assume that the boundary of $\omega = K \cap \mathbb{S}^{m-1}$ is of class $C^{1,1}$, where $\mathbb{S}^{m-1}$ is the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^m$. We put $\mathcal{K} = K \times \mathbb{R}^{n-m}$. We underline that the case $m = n$ where $\mathcal{K} = K$ is not excluded. In what follows we use the representation $x = (x', x'')$, where $x' \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $x'' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-m}$.

First, we recall the important notion of *critical exponents* for the operator $\mathcal{L}$ in the wedge $\mathcal{K}$. They were introduced in [8], where the following Dirichlet problem was considered:

$$\mathcal{L}u = f \quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{K} \times \mathbb{R}; \qquad u = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial\mathcal{K} \times \mathbb{R}. \tag{3}$$

To define them we need the space $\mathcal{V}(Q^{\mathcal{K}}_R(t_0))$ of functions u with finite norm

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{V}(Q^{\mathcal{K}}_R(t_0))} = \sup_{\tau \in (t_0 - R^2, t_0]} \|u(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L_2(B_R \cap \mathcal{K})} + \|Du\|_{L_2(Q^{\mathcal{K}}_R(t_0)))},$$

where

$$B_R = \{x \,:\, |x| < R\}; \qquad Q^{\mathcal{K}}_R(t_0) = (B_R \cap \mathcal{K}) \times (t_0 - R^2, t_0].$$

We write $u \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{loc}}(Q^{\mathcal{K}}_R(t_0))$ if $u \in \mathcal{V}(Q^{\mathcal{K}}_{R'}(t_0))$ for all $R' \in (0, R)$.

The first critical exponent is defined as the supremum of all real λ such that

$$|u(x;t)| \leq C(\lambda, \kappa) \Big(\frac{|x'|}{R}\Big)^{\lambda} \sup_{Q^{\mathcal{K}}_{\kappa R}(t_0)} |u| \quad \text{for} \quad (x;t) \in Q^{\mathcal{K}}_{R/2}(t_0) \tag{4}$$

for a certain $\kappa \in (1/2, 1)$ independent of t_0, R and u. This inequality must be satisfied for all $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, $R > 0$ and for all $u \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{loc}}(Q^{\mathcal{K}}_R(t_0))$ subject to

$$\mathcal{L}u = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad Q^{\mathcal{K}}_R(t_0); \qquad u\big|_{x \in \partial\mathcal{K}} = 0.$$

We denote this critical exponent by $\lambda_c^+ \equiv \lambda_c^+(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{L})$. It is shown in [8] that definition of λ_c^+ does not depend on κ. Since $\lambda = 0$ satisfies (4) we conclude that $\lambda_c^+ \geq 0$.

The second critical exponent is defined as $\lambda_c^- \equiv \lambda_c^-(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{L}) := \lambda_c^+(\mathcal{K}, \widehat{\mathcal{L}})$, where

$$\widehat{\mathcal{L}} = \partial_t - a^{ij}(-t) D_i D_j.$$

Several properties of these critical exponents are established in [8, Theorem 2.4]. In particular,

(i) λ_c^+ and λ_c^- are strictly positive;

(ii) they depend monotonically on $\mathcal{K}$. This means that if $\mathcal{K}_1 \subset \mathcal{K}_2$ then $\lambda_c^+(\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{L}) \geq \lambda_c^+(\mathcal{K}_2, \mathcal{L})$ and $\lambda_c^-(\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{L}) \geq \lambda_c^-(\mathcal{K}_2, \mathcal{L})$;

(iii) if $a^{ij} = \delta_{ij}$ then

$$\lambda_c^{\pm}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{L}) = \lambda_{\mathcal{D}} \equiv -\frac{m-2}{2} + \sqrt{\Lambda_{\mathcal{D}} + \frac{(m-2)^2}{4}},$$

where $\Lambda_{\mathcal{D}}$ is the first eigenvalue of the Dirichlet boundary value problem to the Beltrami-Laplacian in ω;

(iv) Let $\mathcal{L}' = \partial_t - \sum_{k,\ell=1}^m A_{k\ell}(t) D_k D_\ell$. Then $\lambda_c^{\pm}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{L}) = \lambda_c^{\pm}(K, \mathcal{L}')$.

In order to formulate the main result of [8] we introduce two classes of anisotropic spaces. For $1 < p, q < \infty$ we define $L_{p,q} = L_{p,q}(\mathcal{K} \times \mathbb{R})$ and $\tilde{L}_{p,q} = \tilde{L}_{p,q}(\mathcal{K} \times \mathbb{R})$ as spaces of functions f with finite norms

$$\|f\|_{p,q} := \big\| \|f(\cdot;t)\|_{p,\mathcal{K}} \big\|_{q,\mathbb{R}} = \Big(\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}} \Big(\int\limits_{\mathcal{K}} |f(x;t)|^p dx \Big)^{q/p} dt \Big)^{1/q}$$

and

$$|||f|||_{p,q} := \big\| \|f(x,\cdot)\|_{q,\mathbb{R}} \big\|_{p,\mathcal{K}} = \Big(\int\limits_{\mathcal{K}} \Big(\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x;t)|^q dt \Big)^{p/q} dx \Big)^{1/p}$$

respectively.

Proposition 1 ([8], Theorem 1.1)**.** *Suppose that*

$$2 - \frac{m}{p} - \lambda_c^+ < \mu < m - \frac{m}{p} + \lambda_c^-.$$

Then for any f such that $|x'|^\mu f \in L_{p,q}$ (respectively, $|x'|^\mu f \in \widetilde{L}_{p,q}$) there is a solution of the boundary value problem (3) *satisfying the following estimates:*

$$\||x'|^\mu \partial_t u\|_{p,q} + \||x'|^\mu D(Du)\|_{p,q} + \||x'|^{\mu-2} u\|_{p,q} \le C\ \||x'|^\mu f\|_{p,q}; \qquad (5)$$

$$\vert\!\vert\!\vert |x'|^\mu \partial_t u \vert\!\vert\!\vert_{p,q} + \vert\!\vert\!\vert |x'|^\mu D(Du) \vert\!\vert\!\vert_{p,q} + \vert\!\vert\!\vert |x'|^{\mu-2} u \vert\!\vert\!\vert_{p,q} \le C\ \vert\!\vert\!\vert |x'|^\mu f \vert\!\vert\!\vert_{p,q}. \qquad (6)$$

This solution is unique in the space of functions with the finite norms in the left-hand side of (5) *(respectively, of* (6)*).*

Here we complement this result by considering the problem

$$Lu = f_0 + \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{f}) \qquad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{K} \times \mathbb{R}; \qquad u\big|_{x \in \partial\mathcal{K}} = 0, \qquad (7)$$

where $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$. Denote by $\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}^{\mathcal{D}}$ the Green function of problem (3) (this Green function was constructed in [8]). One of the results of this paper is the following.

Theorem 1. *Let*

$$1 - \frac{m}{p} - \lambda_c^+ < \mu < m - 1 - \frac{m}{p} + \lambda_c^-. \qquad (8)$$

(i) *Suppose that $|x'|^{\mu+1} f_0$, $|x'|^\mu \mathbf{f} \in \widetilde{L}_{p,q}(\mathcal{K} \times \mathbb{R})$. Then the function*

$$u(x;t) = \int\limits_{-\infty}^{t} \int\limits_{\mathcal{K}} \Big(\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}^{\mathcal{D}}(x,y;t,s) f_0(y;s) - D_y \Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}^{\mathcal{D}}(x,y;t,s) \cdot \mathbf{f}(y;s) \Big)\, dyds \qquad (9)$$

gives a weak solution to problem (7) *and satisfies the estimate*

$$\vert\!\vert\!\vert |x'|^\mu Du \vert\!\vert\!\vert_{p,q} + \vert\!\vert\!\vert |x'|^{\mu-1} u \vert\!\vert\!\vert_{p,q} \le C(\vert\!\vert\!\vert |x'|^{\mu+1} f_0 \vert\!\vert\!\vert_{p,q} + \vert\!\vert\!\vert |x'|^\mu \mathbf{f} \vert\!\vert\!\vert_{p,q}). \qquad (10)$$

(ii) *Suppose that $|x'|^{\mu+1} f_0$, $|x'|^\mu \mathbf{f} \in L_{p,q}(\mathcal{K} \times \mathbb{R})$. Then the function* (9) *gives a weak solution to problem* (7) *and satisfies the estimate*

$$\||x'|^\mu Du\|_{p,q} + \||x'|^{\mu-1} u\|_{p,q} \le C(\||x'|^{\mu+1} f_0\|_{p,q} + \||x'|^\mu \mathbf{f}\|_{p,q}). \qquad (11)$$

Let us turn to the oblique derivative problem in $\mathcal{K}$

$$Lu = f \quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{K} \times \mathbb{R}; \qquad D_1 u = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad (\partial K \setminus O) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-m} \times \mathbb{R}. \qquad (12)$$

We assume additionally that the cone K is strictly Lipschitz, i.e.

$$K = \{x' = (x_1, \hat{x}) \, : \, x_1 > \phi(\hat{x}), \ \hat{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{m-1}\}, \tag{13}$$

where ϕ is a Lipschitz function, i.e.

$$|\phi(\hat{x}) - \phi(\hat{y})| \le \Lambda |\hat{x} - \hat{y}| \qquad \text{for all} \quad \hat{x}, \, \hat{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{m-1}. \tag{14}$$

The main result of this paper is the following.

Theorem 2. *Let* $1 < p, q < \infty$ *and let* μ *be subject to*

$$-\frac{m}{p} + (1 - \lambda_c^+)_+ < \mu < m - \frac{m}{p} - (1 - \lambda_c^-)_+ \tag{15}$$

(*here* $a_+ = \max\{a, 0\}$).

(i) *If* $|x'|^\mu f \in \widetilde{L}_{p,q}(\mathcal{K} \times \mathbb{R})$ *then solution to problem* (12) *defined by* (30), (31), *satisfies*

$$\vert\!\vert\!\vert |x'|^\mu \partial_t u \vert\!\vert\!\vert_{p,q} + \vert\!\vert\!\vert |x'|^\mu D(Du) \vert\!\vert\!\vert_{p,q} \le C \ \vert\!\vert\!\vert |x'|^\mu f \vert\!\vert\!\vert_{p,q}. \tag{16}$$

(ii) *If* $|x'|^\mu f \in L_{p,q}(\mathcal{K} \times \mathbb{R})$ *then solution to problem* (12) *defined by* (30), (31), *satisfies*

$$\| |x'|^\mu \partial_t u \|_{p,q} + \| |x'|^\mu D(Du) \|_{p,q} \le C \ \| |x'|^\mu f \|_{p,q}. \tag{17}$$

The constant C *depends only on* ν, μ, p, q *and* $\mathcal{K}$.

Remark 1. *Since* λ_c^+ *and* λ_c^- *are positive, the intervals for* μ *in* (8) *and* (15) *are non-empty even for* $m = 2$.

We use an approach based on the study of the Green functions. In Section 2 we collect (partially known) results on the estimate of the Green function for equation (1) in the whole space and in the wedge subject to the Dirichlet boundary condition. In particular in Sect. 2.2 we prove Theorem 1.

Section 3 is devoted to the estimates of the Green function for the oblique derivative problem and to the proof of Theorem 2.

Let us recall some notation. In what follows we denote by the same letter the kernel and the corresponding integral operator, i.e.

$$(\mathcal{T}h)(x;t) = \int\limits_{-\infty}^{t} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{T}(x, y; t, s) h(y; s) \, dy ds.$$

Here we expand functions $\mathcal{T}$ and h by zero to whole space-time if necessary.

For $x \in \mathcal{K}$, $d(x)$ is the distance from x to $\partial\mathcal{K}$, and $r_x = \frac{d(x)}{|x'|}$. We set

$$\mathcal{R}_{x,t} = \frac{|x'|}{|x'| + \sqrt{t}}; \qquad \mathcal{R}_{y,t} = \frac{|y'|}{|y'| + \sqrt{t}}.$$

We use the letter C to denote various positive constants. To indicate that C depends on some parameter a, we sometimes write $C(a)$.

2 Preliminary results

2.1 The estimates in the whole space

Denote by Γ the Green function of the operator $\mathcal{L}$ in the whole space:

$$\Gamma(x, y; t, s) = \frac{\det\left(\int_s^t A(\tau)d\tau\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{(4\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{\left(\left(\int_s^t A(\tau)d\tau\right)^{-1}(x-y), (x-y)\right)}{4}\right)$$

for $t > s$ and 0 otherwise. Here $A(t)$ is the matrix $\{a^{ij}(t)\}_{i,j=1}^n$. The above representation implies, in particular, the following estimates.

Proposition 2. *Let α and β be two arbitrary multi-indices. Then*

$$|D_x^\alpha D_y^\beta \Gamma(x, y; t, s)| \le C\,(t-s)^{-\frac{n+|\alpha|+|\beta|}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma|x-y|^2}{t-s}\right), \tag{18}$$

$$|D_x^\alpha D_y^\beta \partial_s \Gamma(x, y; t, s)| \le C\,(t-s)^{-\frac{n+|\alpha|+|\beta|+2}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma|x-y|^2}{t-s}\right) \tag{19}$$

for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $s < t$. Here σ depends only on the ellipticity constant ν and C may depend on ν, α and β.

The following statement is a particular case of a general result on boundedness of singular operators in Lebesgue spaces with Muckenhoupt weights. For $p = q$ it can be extracted from [4]. However, we could not find corresponding result for anisotropic spaces and give here a direct proof.

Theorem 3. *Let $1 < p, q < \infty$, and let*

$$-\frac{m}{p} < \mu < m - \frac{m}{p}. \tag{20}$$

Then the integral operator with the kernel $\frac{|x'|^\mu}{|y'|^\mu} D_x D_x \Gamma(x, y; t, s)$ *is bounded in* $\widetilde{L}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})$ *and* $L_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})$ *spaces.*

Proof. For $\mu = 0$ this statement is proved in [6] (for the space $L_{p,q}$) and in [7] (for the space $\widetilde{L}_{p,q}$). Thus, it is sufficient to prove boundedness of the operator with kernel

$$\mathcal{G}(x, y; t, s) = \Big(\frac{|x'|^\mu}{|y'|^\mu} - 1\Big) D_x D_x \Gamma(x, y; t, s).$$

Using (18), (19) and elementary estimates we obtain

$$|\mathcal{G}(x, y; t, s)| \le C\, \frac{|x'|^{-r} \cdot \Phi\big(\frac{|x'|}{|y'|}\big)}{(t-s)^{\frac{n+2-r}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma|x-y|^2}{2(t-s)}\right), \tag{21}$$

$$|\partial_s \mathcal{G}(x, y; t, s)| \le C\, \frac{|x'|^{-r} \cdot \Phi\big(\frac{|x'|}{|y'|}\big)}{(t-s)^{\frac{n+4-r}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma|x-y|^2}{2(t-s)}\right) \tag{22}$$

for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $s < t$. Here $r = \min\{|\mu|, 1\}$ and

$$\Phi(t) = \begin{cases} t^\mu + t, & \mu > 1; \\ t^\mu, & 0 < \mu \le 1; \\ 1, & -1 \le \mu < 0; \\ t^{\mu+1} + 1, & \mu < -1. \end{cases}$$

Due to (21) and (20), the kernels $\mathcal{G}$ satisfy the conditions of Proposition 4 (see Appendix) with $\lambda_1 = -r$, $\lambda_2 = 0$, $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2 = 0$. Thus, the operator $\mathcal{G}$ is bounded in $L_p(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})$ and $\widetilde{L}_{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})$. Generalized Riesz–Thorin theorem, see, e.g., [11, 1.18.7], shows that this operator is bounded in $\widetilde{L}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})$ for any $q \ge p$.

For $q < p$ the same argument provides boundedness of the operator $\mathcal{G}^*$ in $L_{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})$ and $\widetilde{L}_{p',\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})$ spaces, and thus in $\widetilde{L}_{p',q'}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})$. Now duality argument gives the statement of Theorem for the spaces $\widetilde{L}_{p,q}$.

To deal with the scale $L_{p,q}$, we take a function h supported in the layer $|s - s^0| \le \delta$ such that $\int h(y; s)\, ds \equiv 0$. Then

$$(\mathcal{G}h)(x; t) = \int\limits_{-\infty}^{t} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Big(\mathcal{G}(x, y; t, s) - \mathcal{G}(x, y; t, s^0)\Big)\, h(y; s)\, dyds.$$

For $|s-s^0|<\delta$ and $t-s^0>2\delta$, estimate (22) implies

$$\big|\mathcal{G}(x,y;t,s)-\mathcal{G}(x,y;t,s^0)\big|\le\int\limits_{s^0}^{s}|\partial_\tau\mathcal{G}_j(x,y;t,\tau)|\,d\tau$$

$$\le C\,\frac{|x'|^{-r}\cdot\Phi\big(\frac{|x'|}{|y'|}\big)}{(t-s)^{\frac{n+2-r}{2}}}\,\frac{\delta}{t-s}\,\exp\left(-\frac{\sigma|x-y|^2}{2(t-s)}\right).$$

Thus, our kernel satisfies the assumptions of Proposition 5 (see Appendix) with $\varkappa=1$, $\lambda_1=-r$, $\lambda_2=0$, $\varepsilon_1=\varepsilon_2=0$. This gives

$$\int\limits_{|t-s^0|>2\delta}\|(\mathcal{G}h)(\cdot;t)\|_p\,dt\le C\,\|h\|_{p,1},$$

where C does not depend on δ and s^0.

The last estimate is equivalent to the second condition in [1, Theorem 3.8] while the boundedness of $\mathcal{G}$ in $L_p(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R})$ gives the first condition in this theorem. Therefore, Theorem 3.8 [1] ensures that $\mathcal{G}$ is bounded in $L_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R})$ for any $1<q<p<\infty$. For $q>p$ this statement follows by duality arguments. $\square$

2.2 Coercive estimates for weak solutions to the Dirichlet problem in the wedge

We recall that $\Gamma^{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathcal{K}}$ stands for the Green function of the operator $\mathcal{L}$ in the wedge $\mathcal{K}$ under the Dirichlet boundary condition, and $\lambda_c^\pm>0$ are the critical exponents for $\mathcal{L}$ in $\mathcal{K}$.

The next statement is proved in [8, Theorem 3.10] for $|\alpha'|$, $|\beta'|\le 2$. In general case the proof runs almost without changes.

Proposition 3. *Let $\lambda^+<\lambda_c^+$ and $\lambda^-<\lambda_c^-$. For $x,y\in\mathcal{K}$, $t>s$ the following estimates are valid:*

$$|D_x^\alpha D_y^\beta\Gamma^{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathcal{K}}(x,y;t,s)|\le C\,\frac{\mathcal{R}_{x,t-s}^{\lambda^+-|\alpha'|}}{r_x^{(|\alpha'|-2+\varepsilon)_+}}\,\frac{\mathcal{R}_{y,t-s}^{\lambda^--|\beta'|}}{r_y^{(|\beta'|-2+\varepsilon)_+}}$$

$$\times(t-s)^{-\frac{n+|\alpha|+|\beta|}{2}}\exp\left(-\frac{\sigma|x-y|^2}{t-s}\right),\tag{23}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
|D_x^\alpha D_y^\beta \partial_s \Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}^{\mathcal{D}}(x,y;t,s)| &\le C\, \frac{\mathcal{R}_{x,t-s}^{\lambda^+-|\alpha'|}}{r_x^{(|\alpha'|-2+\varepsilon)_+}}\ \frac{\mathcal{R}_{y,t-s}^{\lambda^--|\beta'|-2}}{r_y^{|\beta'|+\varepsilon}} \\
&\times (t-s)^{-\frac{n+|\alpha|+|\beta|+2}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma|x-y|^2}{t-s}\right), \qquad (24)
\end{aligned}
$$

where σ is a positive constant depending on ν, ε is an arbitrary small positive number and C may depend on ν, $\lambda^\pm$, α, β and ε.

Now we turn to problem (7) and to the proof of Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. First, function (9) obviously solves problem (7) in the sence of distributions. Thus, it is sufficient to prove estimates (10) and (11). Put

$$
\mathcal{T}_0(x,y;t,s) = \frac{|x'|^{\mu-1}}{|y'|^{\mu+1}}\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}^{\mathcal{D}}(x,y;t,s); \quad \mathcal{T}_1(x,y;t,s) = \frac{|x'|^{\mu-1}}{|y'|^{\mu}}D_y\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}^{\mathcal{D}}(x,y;t,s);
$$

$$
\mathcal{T}_2(x,y;t,s) = \frac{|x'|^{\mu}}{|y'|^{\mu+1}}D_x\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}^{\mathcal{D}}(x,y;t,s);\ \mathcal{T}_3(x,y;t,s) = \frac{|x'|^{\mu}}{|y'|^{\mu}}D_xD_y\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}^{\mathcal{D}}(x,y;t,s).
$$

We choose $0<\lambda^+<\lambda_c^+$ and $0<\lambda^-<\lambda_c^-$ such that

$$
2-\frac{m}{p}-\lambda^+ < \mu < m-\frac{m}{p}+\lambda^-. \qquad (25)
$$

(i) By Proposition 3, the kernels $\mathcal{T}_0$ and $\mathcal{T}_1$ satisfy the conditions of Proposition 4 with $\varepsilon_1=\varepsilon_2=0$ and
with $r=2$, $\lambda_1=\lambda^+-2$, $\lambda_2=\lambda^-$ and μ replaced by $\mu+1$ for the kernel $\mathcal{T}_0$;
with $r=1$, $\lambda_1=\lambda^+-1$, $\lambda_2=\lambda^--1$ for the kernel $\mathcal{T}_1$, respectively.

Similarly to the first part of the proof of Theorem 3, using Proposition 4 and generalized Riesz–Thorin theorem, we conclude that the operators $\mathcal{T}_0$ and $\mathcal{T}_1$ are bounded in $\widetilde{L}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R})$ for $1<p\le q<\infty$. For $q<p$ we proceed by duality argument and arrive at

$$
|||\,|x'|^{\mu-1}u|||_{p,q} \le C(|||\,|x'|^{\mu+1}f_0|||_{p,q} + |||\,|x'|^{\mu}\mathbf{f}|||_{p,q}). \qquad (26)
$$

for all $1<p,q<\infty$ and μ subject to (8).

To estimate the first term in the left-hand side of (10) we use local estimates. For $\xi''\in\mathbb{R}^{n-m}$, $\rho>0$ and $\vartheta>1$, we define

$$
\Pi_{\rho,\vartheta}(\xi'') = \Big\{x\in\mathcal{T}\ :\ \frac{\rho}{\vartheta}<|x'|<\rho,\ |x''-\xi''|<\rho\Big\}.
$$

Localization of the estimate from [9, Theorem 1 (i)] with $\mu = 0$ (by using a cut-off function, which is equal to 1 on $\Pi_{\rho,2}$ and 0 outside $\Pi_{2\rho,8}$) gives

$$\int\limits_{\Pi_{\rho,2}(\xi)} \Big(\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}} |Du|^q\,dt\Big)^{\frac{p}{q}} dx \le C \int\limits_{\Pi_{2\rho,8}(\xi)} \Big(\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}} (|u|^q\rho^{-q} + \rho^q|f_0|^q + |\mathbf{f}|^q\,dt\Big)^{\frac{p}{q}} dx$$

for any $\xi'' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-m}$ and $\rho > 0$.

Using a proper partition of unity in $\mathcal{K}$, we arrive at

$$\int\limits_{\mathcal{K}} \bigg(\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}} |Du|^q\,dt\bigg)^{p/q} |x'|^{\mu p}\,dx \le C\bigg(\int\limits_{\mathcal{K}} \bigg(\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}} |u|^q\,dt\bigg)^{p/q} |x'|^{\mu p - p}\,dx$$
$$+ \int\limits_{\mathcal{K}} \bigg(\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}} |\mathbf{f}|^q\,dt\bigg)^{p/q} |x'|^{\mu p}\,dx + \int\limits_{\mathcal{K}} \bigg(\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}} |f_0|^q\,dt\bigg)^{p/q} |x'|^{\mu p + p}\,dx\bigg).$$

This immediately implies (10) with regard of (26).

(ii) To deal with the space $L_{p,q}$, we need the following lemma (compare with the second part of the proof of Theorem 3)

Lemma 1. *Let a function h be supported in the layer $|s - s^0| \le \delta$ and satisfy $\int h(y;s)\,ds \equiv 0$. Also let $p \in (1,\infty)$ and μ be subject to (8). Then the operators $\mathcal{T}_j$, $j = 0,1,2,3$, satisfy*

$$\int\limits_{|t-s^0|>2\delta} \|(\mathcal{T}_j h)(\cdot;t)\|_p\,dt \le C\,\|h\|_{p,1},$$

where C does not depend on δ and s^0.

Proof. By $\int h(y;s)\,ds \equiv 0$, we have

$$(\mathcal{T}_j h)(x;t) = \int\limits_{-\infty}^{t} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Big(\mathcal{T}_j(x,y;t,s) - \mathcal{T}_j(x,y;t,s^0)\Big)\,h(y;s)\,dyds \tag{27}$$

(we recall that all functions are assumed to be extended by zero outside $\mathcal{K}$).

We choose $0<\lambda^+<\lambda_c^+$ and $0<\lambda^-<\lambda_c^-$ such that (25) holds. Then, for $|s-s^0|<\delta$ and $t-s^0>2\delta$, estimate (24) implies

$$\left|\mathcal{T}_j(x,y;t,s)-\mathcal{T}_j(x,y;t,s^0)\right| \le \int\limits_{s^0}^{s} |\partial_\tau \mathcal{T}_j(x,y;t,\tau)|\, d\tau$$

$$\le C\,\frac{\mathcal{R}_x^{\ell_1}\mathcal{R}_y^{\ell_2-2}|x'|^{\ell_3}}{(t-s)^{\frac{n+2-r}{2}}|y'|^{\ell_4}r_y^{\ell_5}}\,\frac{\delta}{t-s}\,\exp\left(-\frac{\sigma|x-y|^2}{t-s}\right),$$

with the following parameters (here $\varepsilon>0$ is arbitrary small):

Kernel	r	ℓ_1	ℓ_2	ℓ_3	ℓ_4	ℓ_5
$\mathcal{T}_0$	2	λ^+	λ^-	$\mu-1$	$\mu+1$	ε
$\mathcal{T}_1$	1	λ^+	λ^--1	$\mu-1$	μ	$1+\varepsilon$
$\mathcal{T}_2$	1	λ^+-1	λ^-	μ	$\mu+1$	ε
$\mathcal{T}_3$	0	λ^+-1	λ^--1	μ	μ	$1+\varepsilon$

On the other hand, estimate (23) leads to

$$\left|\mathcal{T}_j(x,y;t,s)-\mathcal{T}_j(x,y;t,s^0)\right| \le C\,\frac{\mathcal{R}_x^{\ell_1}\mathcal{R}_y^{\ell_2}|x'|^{\ell_3}}{(t-s)^{\frac{n+2-r}{2}}|y'|^{\ell_4}}\,\exp\left(-\frac{\sigma|x-y|^2}{t-s}\right)$$

with the same parameters.

Combination of these estimates gives

$$\left|\mathcal{T}_j(x,y;t,s)-\mathcal{T}_j(x,y;t,s^0)\right| \le \frac{C\delta^\varepsilon\,\mathcal{R}_x^{\ell_1}\mathcal{R}_y^{\ell_2-2\varepsilon}|x'|^{\ell_3}}{(t-s)^{\frac{n+2-r}{2}+\varepsilon}|y'|^{\ell_4}r_y^{\varepsilon\ell_5}}\,\exp\left(-\frac{\sigma|x-y|^2}{t-s}\right).$$

Thus, the kernels in (27) satisfy the assumptions of Proposition 5 with $\varkappa=\varepsilon$, $\varepsilon_1=0$ and

with $\lambda_1=\lambda^+-2$, $\lambda_2=\lambda^--2\varepsilon$, $\varepsilon_2=\varepsilon^2$ and μ replaced by $\mu+1$ for kernels $\mathcal{T}_0$ and $\mathcal{T}_2$;

with $\lambda_1=\lambda^+-1$, $\lambda_2=\lambda^--1-2\varepsilon$, $\varepsilon_2=\varepsilon(1+\varepsilon)$ for kernels $\mathcal{T}_1$ and $\mathcal{T}_3$, respectively.

Inequality (25) becomes (50), and the Lemma follows. $\square$

We continue the proof of the second statement of Theorem 1. Estimate (10) for $q = p$ provides boundedness of the operators $\mathcal{T}_j$, $j = 0, 1, 2, 3$, in $L_p(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})$, which gives the first condition in [1, Theorem 3.8]. Lemma 1 is equivalent to the second condition in this theorem. Therefore, Theorem 3.8 [1] ensures that these operators are bounded in $L_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})$ for any $1 < q < p < \infty$. For $q > p$ this statement follows by duality arguments. This implies estimate (11). The proof is complete.

3 Oblique derivative problem

3.1 The Green function

From here on we use the notation $x' = (x_1, \hat{x})$ and assume that the cone K is strictly Lipschitz, i.e. (13) and (14) are satisfied.

Theorem 4. *There exists a Green function* $\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}^{\mathcal{N}} = \Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}^{\mathcal{N}}(x, y; t, s)$ *of problem* (12)*. Moreover, if* $\lambda^+ < \lambda_c^+$ *and* $\lambda^- < \lambda_c^-$ *then the following estimates are valid for arbitrary* $x, y \in \mathcal{K}$, $t > s$*:*

$$|D_x^\alpha D_y^\beta \Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}^{\mathcal{N}}(x, y; t, s)| \le C\, \frac{\mathcal{R}_{x,t-s}^{(\lambda^+-|\alpha'|+1)_-}}{r_x^{(|\alpha'|-3+\varepsilon)_+}}\, \frac{\mathcal{R}_{y,t-s}^{\lambda^- -|\beta'|-1}}{r_y^{(|\beta'|-1+\varepsilon)_+}} \tag{28}$$

$$\times \frac{(1-\mathcal{R}_{x,t-s})^{-\min\{1,(|\alpha'|-2+\varepsilon)_+\}}}{(t-s)^{\frac{n+|\alpha|+|\beta|}{2}}} \exp\left(-\sigma\, \frac{|\hat{x}-\hat{y}|^2 + |x''-y''|^2}{t-s}\right),$$

$$|D_x^\alpha D_y^\beta \partial_s \Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}^{\mathcal{N}}(x, y; t, s)| \le C\, \frac{\mathcal{R}_{x,t-s}^{(\lambda^+-|\alpha'|+1)_-}}{r_x^{(|\alpha'|-3+\varepsilon)_+}}\, \frac{\mathcal{R}_{y,t-s}^{\lambda^- -|\beta'|-3}}{r_y^{|\beta'|+1+\varepsilon}} \tag{29}$$

$$\times \frac{(1-\mathcal{R}_{x,t-s})^{-\min\{1,(|\alpha'|-2+\varepsilon)_+\}}}{(t-s)^{\frac{n+|\alpha|+|\beta|+2}{2}}} \exp\left(-\sigma\, \frac{|\hat{x}-\hat{y}|^2 + |x''-y''|^2}{t-s}\right).$$

Here σ *is a positive number depending on* ν, α *and* β, ε *is an arbitrary small positive number and* C *may depend on* ν, $\lambda^\pm$, Λ, α, β *and* ε.

Proof. Let u be a solution of problem (12). Then the derivative $D_1 u$ obviously satisfies the Dirichlet problem (7) with $f_0 = 0$ and $\mathbf{f} = (f, 0, \dots, 0)$. Therefore,

$$D_1 u = -\int\limits_{-\infty}^{t} \int\limits_{\mathcal{K}} D_{y_1} \Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}^{\mathcal{D}}(x, y; t, s) f(y; s)\, dy ds,$$

and we can write solution to problem (12) as

$$u(x;t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} \int_{\mathcal{K}} \Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}^{\mathcal{N}}(x,y;t,s) f(y;s)\, dyds, \tag{30}$$

where

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}^{\mathcal{N}}(x,y;t,s) = \int_{x_1}^{\infty} D_{y_1} \Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}^{\mathcal{D}}((\zeta,\hat{x},x''),y;t,s)\, d\zeta. \tag{31}$$

Since $D_{x_1}\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}^{\mathcal{N}}(x,y;t,s) = -D_{y_1}\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}^{\mathcal{D}}(x,y;t,s)$, we derive from (23) that

$$|D_x^\alpha D_y^\beta D_{x_1}\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}^{\mathcal{N}}(x,y;t,s)| \le C\, \frac{\mathcal{R}_{x,t-s}^{\lambda^+-|\alpha'|}}{r_x^{(|\alpha'|-2+\varepsilon)_+}}\, \frac{\mathcal{R}_{y,t-s}^{\lambda^--|\beta'|-1}}{r_y^{(|\beta'|-1+\varepsilon)_+}}$$
$$\times (t-s)^{-\frac{n+|\alpha|+|\beta|+1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma|x-y|^2}{t-s}\right),$$

which gives (28) for $\alpha_1 \ge 1$.

To estimate derivatives with $\alpha_1 = 0$ we write

$$|D_x^\alpha D_y^\beta \Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}^{\mathcal{N}}(x,y;t,s)| \le \int_{x_1}^{\infty} |D_x^\alpha D_y^\beta D_{y_1}\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}^{\mathcal{D}}((\zeta,\hat{x},x''),y;t,s)|\, d\zeta$$
$$\le C\, \frac{\mathcal{R}_{y,t-s}^{\lambda^--|\beta'|-1}}{r_y^{(|\beta'|-1+\varepsilon)_+}}\, (t-s)^{-\frac{n+|\alpha|+|\beta|}{2}} \exp\left(-\sigma \frac{|\hat{x}-\hat{y}|^2 + |x''-y''|^2}{t-s}\right)$$
$$\times \int_{x_1}^{\infty} \Big(\frac{|\hat{x}|^2+\zeta^2}{|\hat{x}|^2+\zeta^2+(t-s)}\Big)^{\frac{\lambda^+-|\alpha'|}{2}} \Big(\frac{|\hat{x}|^2+\zeta^2}{(\zeta-\phi(\hat{x}))^2}\Big)^{\frac{(|\alpha'|-2+\varepsilon)_+}{2}}$$
$$\times \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma|\zeta-y_1|^2}{t-s}\right) \frac{d\zeta}{\sqrt{t-s}},$$

where we have used (31) and (23). Now we apply Lemma 4 (see Appendix) and estimate the last integral by

$$C\, \frac{\mathcal{R}_{x,t-s}^{(\lambda^+-|\alpha'|+1)_-}}{r_x^{(|\alpha'|-3+\varepsilon)_+}} \Big(\frac{\sqrt{t-s}}{|x'|+\sqrt{t-s}}\Big)^{-\min\{1,(|\alpha'|-2+\varepsilon)_+\}},$$

which gives (28) for $\alpha_1 = 0$.

Since $\partial_s\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}^{\mathcal{N}}(x,y;t,s)$ can be expressed via $D_y^2\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}^{\mathcal{N}}(x,y;t,s)$, the estimate (29) follows from (28). □

3.2 Estimates for the difference $\Gamma^{\mathcal{N}}_{\mathcal{K}} - \Gamma$

The next representation of the Green function $\Gamma^{\mathcal{N}}_{\mathcal{K}}$ follows from (31):

$$\Gamma^{\mathcal{N}}_{\mathcal{K}}(x,y;t,s) = \Gamma(x,y;t,s) + \int\limits_{x_1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}((\zeta,\hat{x},x''),y;t,s)\,d\zeta,$$

where Γ is the Green function of the operator $\mathcal{L}$ in the whole space and

$$\mathcal{F}(x,y;t,s) = D_{x_1}\Gamma(x,y;t,s) + D_{y_1}\Gamma^{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathcal{K}}(x,y;t,s).$$

The function $\mathcal{F}$ verifies the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{array}{rll} \mathcal{L}\mathcal{F}(x,y;t,s) = 0 & \text{in} & \mathcal{K}\times\mathbb{R}; \\ \mathcal{F}(x,y;t,s) = D_{x_1}\Gamma(x,y;t,s) & \text{for} & x\in\partial\mathcal{K}. \end{array}$$

Solving this problem, we find

$$\mathcal{F}(x,y;t,s) = -\int\limits_{s}^{t}\int\limits_{\partial\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{P}(x,z;t,\tau) D_{z_1}\Gamma(z,y;\tau,s)\,dS_z d\tau,$$

where

$$\mathcal{P}(x,z;t,\tau) = n_i(z)a^{ij}(\tau)D_{z_j}\Gamma^{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathcal{K}}(x,z;t,\tau).$$

Thus we arrived at the following representation for the difference $\Gamma^{\mathcal{N}}_{\mathcal{K}} - \Gamma$:

$$\begin{aligned} &\Gamma^{\mathcal{N}}_{\mathcal{K}}(x,y;t,s) - \Gamma(x,y;t,s) \\ &= -\int\limits_{x_1}^{\infty}\int\limits_{s}^{t}\int\limits_{\partial\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{P}((\zeta,\hat{x},x''),z;t,\tau) D_{z_1}\Gamma(z,y;\tau,s)\,dS_z d\tau d\zeta. \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

Now we are in the position to prove the main estimate of this Section.

Theorem 5. *Let $\lambda^+ < \lambda^+_c$ and $\lambda^- < \lambda^-_c$. Then the following estimates are valid for $|\alpha| = 2$ and for arbitrary $x,y\in\mathcal{K}$, $t>s$:*

$$\begin{aligned} &|D^{\alpha}_x D^{\beta}_y \big(\Gamma^{\mathcal{N}}_{\mathcal{K}}(x,y;t,s) - \Gamma(x,y;t,s)\big)| \\ &\le \frac{C\,\mathcal{R}^{(\lambda^+-2)_-}_{x,t-s}}{(t-s)^{\frac{n+2+|\beta|}{2}}} \exp\Big(-\frac{\widetilde{\sigma}|x-y|^2}{t-s}\Big) \\ &\times\Big[\frac{(t-s)^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}}{|x'|^{1+\varepsilon}\,r_x^{1+3\varepsilon}\,|y'|^{\varepsilon}\,r_y^{\varepsilon}} + \frac{\mathcal{R}^{(\lambda^--1)_--1}_{y,t-s}(t-s)^{\frac{|\beta|}{2}+1}}{|x'|\,r_x^{1+2\varepsilon}\,|y'|^{|\beta|+1}\,r_y^{|\beta|+2}}\Big], \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|D_x^\alpha D_y^\beta \partial_s\big(\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}^{\mathcal{N}}(x,y;t,s)-\Gamma(x,y;t,s)\big)| \qquad\qquad (34)\\
&\le \frac{C\,\mathcal{R}_{x,t-s}^{(\lambda^+-2)_-}}{(t-s)^{\frac{n+4+|\beta|}{2}}}\exp\Big(-\frac{\widetilde{\sigma}|x-y|^2}{t-s}\Big)\\
&\times\Big[\frac{(t-s)^{\frac12+\varepsilon}}{|x'|^{1+\varepsilon}\,r_x^{1+3\varepsilon}\,|y'|^{\varepsilon}\,r_y^{\varepsilon}}+\frac{\mathcal{R}_{y,t-s}^{(\lambda^--1)_--1}(t-s)^{\frac{|\beta|}{2}+2}}{|x'|\,r_x^{1+2\varepsilon}\,|y'|^{|\beta|+3}\,r_y^{|\beta|+4}}\Big].
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $\widetilde{\sigma}$ is a positive number depending on ν, Λ and β, ε is an arbitrary small positive number and C may depend on ν, $\lambda^\pm$, Λ, β and ε.

Proof. We begin with estimates for $D_x^\alpha D_y^\beta \mathcal{F}$ with arbitrary α. Using (23) and (18), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|D_x^\alpha D_y^\beta \mathcal{F}(x,y;t,s)| \le C\int\limits_s^t\int\limits_{\partial\mathcal{K}} \frac{\mathcal{R}_{x,t-\tau}^{\lambda^+-|\alpha'|}\,r_x^{-(|\alpha'|-2+\varepsilon)_+}\,\mathcal{R}_{z,t-\tau}^{\lambda^--1}}{(t-\tau)^{\frac{n+|\alpha|+1}{2}}(\tau-s)^{\frac{n+|\beta|+1}{2}}}\\
&\times\exp\Big(-\frac{\sigma|x-z|^2}{t-\tau}\Big)\exp\Big(-\frac{\sigma|z-y|^2}{\tau-s}\Big)\,dS_z d\tau,
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking into account that $z=(\phi(\hat z),\hat z,z'')$ and integrating with respect to z'', we arrive at

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|D_x^\alpha D_y^\beta \mathcal{F}(x,y;t,s)| \le \frac{C\,r_x^{-(|\alpha'|-2+\varepsilon)_+}}{(t-s)^{\frac{n-m}{2}}}\\
&\times\int\limits_s^t \frac{\mathcal{R}_{x,t-\tau}^{\lambda^+-|\alpha'|}}{(t-\tau)^{\frac{m+|\alpha|+1}{2}}}\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^{m-1}}\exp\Big(-\sigma\,\frac{|\hat x-\hat z|^2+|x_1-\phi(\hat z)|^2}{t-\tau}\Big)\\
&\times\frac{\mathcal{R}_{z,t-\tau}^{\lambda^--1}}{(\tau-s)^{\frac{m+|\beta|+1}{2}}}\,\exp\Big(-\frac{\sigma|z'-y'|^2}{\tau-s}\Big)\,d\hat z d\tau. \qquad (35)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us estimate the expression in the last line of (35). If $|z'-y'|\le|y'|$ then $|z'|\le 2|y'|$ and therefore $\mathcal{R}_{z,t-\tau}^{\lambda^--1}\le\mathcal{R}_{y,t-\tau}^{(\lambda^--1)_-}$. In the opposite case we have $|z'-y'|^2\ge\frac12(|\hat z-\hat y|^2+|y'|^2)$. In any case we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_{z,t-\tau}^{\lambda^--1}\exp\Big(-\frac{\sigma|z'-y'|^2}{\tau-s}\Big) &\le \mathcal{R}_{y,t-\tau}^{(\lambda^--1)_-}\exp\Big(-\sigma\,\frac{|\hat z-\hat y|^2+|y_1-\phi(\hat z)|^2}{\tau-s}\Big)\\
&+ \mathcal{R}_{z,t-\tau}^{\lambda^--1}\exp\Big(-\sigma\,\frac{|\hat z-\hat y|^2+|y'|^2}{\tau-s}\Big). \qquad (36)
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, we claim that the following inequality

$$|\hat{x}-\hat{z}|^2+c|x_1-\phi(\hat{z})|^2 \geq (1-c\Lambda^2)|\hat{x}-\hat{z}|^2+\frac{c}{2}|x_1-\phi(\hat{x})|^2, \tag{37}$$

holds for positive c. Indeed, we note that

$$|x_1-\phi(\hat{x})|^2 \leq 2|x_1-\phi(\hat{z})|^2+2|\phi(\hat{x})-\phi(\hat{z})|^2 \leq 2|x_1-\phi(\hat{z})|^2+2\Lambda^2|\hat{x}-\hat{z}|^2,$$

which implies

$$|x_1-\phi(\hat{z})|^2 \geq \frac{1}{2}|x_1-\phi(\hat{x})|^2-\Lambda^2|\hat{x}-\hat{z}|^2$$

and leads to (37).

Using (36) and (37), we estimate the integral in (35) by

$$\int\limits_s^t \frac{\mathcal{R}_{x,t-\tau}^{\lambda^+-|\alpha'|}}{(t-\tau)^{\frac{m+|\alpha|+1}{2}}(\tau-s)^{\frac{m+|\beta|+1}{2}}} \exp\Big(-\frac{\sigma_1|x_1-\phi(\hat{x})|^2}{t-\tau}\Big)\cdot\Big(\mathcal{I}_1+\mathcal{I}_2\Big)\,d\tau,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}_1 &= \mathcal{R}_{y,t-\tau}^{(\lambda^--1)_-}\exp\Big(-\frac{\sigma_1|y_1-\phi(\hat{y})|^2}{\tau-s}\Big)\\ &\times\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^{m-1}}\exp\Big(-\frac{\sigma_1|\hat{x}-\hat{z}|^2}{t-\tau}\Big)\exp\Big(-\frac{\sigma_1|\hat{z}-\hat{y}|^2}{\tau-s}\Big)\,d\hat{z}.\end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}_2 &= \exp\Big(-\frac{\sigma_1|y'|^2}{\tau-s}\Big)\\ &\times\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^{m-1}}\Big(\frac{|\hat{z}|}{|\hat{z}|+\sqrt{t-\tau}}\Big)^{\lambda^--1}\exp\Big(-\frac{\sigma_1|\hat{x}-\hat{z}|^2}{t-\tau}\Big)\exp\Big(-\frac{\sigma_1|\hat{z}-\hat{y}|^2}{\tau-s}\Big)\,d\hat{z}.\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

Applying Lemma 5 (see Appendix) for estimating the right-hand side of (38) and (39), we get

$$\begin{aligned}|D_x^\alpha D_y^\beta\mathcal{F}(x,y;t,s)| &\leq \frac{C\,r_x^{-(|\alpha'|-2+\varepsilon)_+}}{(t-s)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}}\exp\Big(-\sigma_1\,\frac{|\hat{x}-\hat{y}|^2+|x''-y''|^2}{t-s}\Big)\\ &\times\int\limits_s^t\frac{\mathcal{R}_{x,t-\tau}^{\lambda^+-|\alpha'|}}{(t-\tau)^{1+\frac{|\alpha|}{2}}(\tau-s)^{1+\frac{|\beta|}{2}}}\exp\Big(-\frac{\sigma_1|x_1-\phi(\hat{x})|^2}{t-\tau}\Big)\\ &\times\Big[\Big(\frac{\tau-s}{t-s}\Big)^{\frac{\varrho}{2}}\exp\Big(-\frac{\sigma_1|y'|^2}{\tau-s}\Big)+\mathcal{R}_{y,t-\tau}^{\varrho}\exp\Big(-\frac{\sigma_1|y_1-\phi(\hat{y})|^2}{\tau-s}\Big)\Big]\,d\tau,\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

where $\varrho = (\lambda^- - 1)_-$.

Now we note that $\mathcal{R}_{x,t-\tau}^{\lambda^+ - |\alpha'|} \le \mathcal{R}_{x,t-s}^{(\lambda^+ - |\alpha'|)_-}$, $\mathcal{R}_{y,t-\tau}^{\varrho} \le \mathcal{R}_{y,t-s}^{\varrho}$, and make the following change of variables in the right-hand side of (40):

$$\theta = \frac{t-\tau}{\tau - s}, \qquad \frac{d\tau}{d\theta} = -\frac{t-s}{(\theta+1)^2}.$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned}
|D_x^\alpha D_y^\beta \mathcal{F}(x,y;t,s)| &\le \frac{C\,\mathcal{R}_{x,t-s}^{(\lambda^+ - |\alpha'|)_-}\, r_x^{-(|\alpha'|-2+\varepsilon)_+}}{(t-s)^{\frac{n+|\alpha|+|\beta|+1}{2}}} \qquad (41)\\
&\times \exp\Big(-\sigma_2\, \frac{|\hat{x}-\hat{y}|^2 + |x''-y''|^2 + |x_1 - \phi(\hat{x})|^2 + |y_1 - \phi(\hat{y})|^2}{t-s}\Big)\\
&\times \int\limits_0^\infty d\theta\, \exp\Big(-\frac{\sigma_1 |x_1 - \phi(\hat{x})|^2}{(t-s)\theta}\Big) \frac{(\theta+1)^{\frac{|\alpha|+|\beta|}{2}}}{\theta^{1+\frac{|\alpha|}{2}}}\\
&\times \Big[(\theta+1)^{-\frac{\varrho}{2}} \exp\Big(-\frac{\sigma_1 |y'|^2 \theta}{t-s}\Big) + \mathcal{R}_{y,t-s}^{\varrho} \exp\Big(-\frac{\sigma_1 |y_1 - \phi(\hat{y})|^2 \theta}{t-s}\Big)\Big]
\end{aligned}$$

(we take into account that $|y_1 - \phi(\hat{y})| \le C|y'|$).

Using formula

$$\int\limits_0^\infty \theta^{\kappa - 1} \exp(-A\theta - B\theta^{-1})\, d\theta = 2(BA^{-1})^{\frac{\kappa}{2}} \cdot \mathbf{K}_\kappa(2\sqrt{AB})$$

(see, e.g., [3, 3.471.9]) and estimates of the Macdonald function ([3, 8.407 and 8.451.6])

$$\mathbf{K}_\kappa(\zeta) \le C(\kappa,\gamma)\, \zeta^{-(|\kappa|+\gamma)}, \qquad \forall \zeta, \gamma > 0,$$

we estimate the integral in (41) by

$$\begin{aligned}
&C\Big[\frac{|x_1 - \phi(\hat{x})|^{-\varepsilon} |y_1 - \phi(\hat{y})|^{-\varepsilon}}{(t-s)^{-\varepsilon}} \Big(\frac{|x_1 - \phi(\hat{x})|^2}{t-s}\Big)^{-\frac{|\alpha|}{2}} \qquad (42)\\
&+ \frac{|x_1 - \phi(\hat{x})|^{-\gamma} |y_1 - \phi(\hat{y})|^{-\gamma}}{(t-s)^{-\gamma}} \Big[\Big(\frac{|y'|^2}{t-s}\Big)^{\frac{\varrho - |\beta|}{2}} + \mathcal{R}_{y,t-s}^{\varrho} \Big(\frac{|y_1 - \phi(\hat{y})|^2}{t-s}\Big)^{-\frac{|\beta|}{2}} \Big]\Big]\\
&\le C\Big[\frac{(t-s)^{\frac{|\alpha|}{2}+\varepsilon}}{|x'|^{|\alpha|+\varepsilon}\, r_x^{|\alpha|+\varepsilon}\, |y'|^{\varepsilon}\, r_y^{\varepsilon}} + \frac{\mathcal{R}_{y,t-s}^{\varrho} (t-s)^{\frac{|\beta|}{2}+\gamma}}{|x'|^{\gamma}\, r_x^{\gamma}\, |y'|^{|\beta|+\gamma}\, r_y^{|\beta|+\gamma}}\Big].
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we note that

$$\begin{aligned}|x_1-y_1|^2 &\le 3|x_1-\phi(\hat{x})|^2+3|\phi(\hat{x})-\phi(\hat{y})|^2+3|\phi(\hat{y})-y_1|^2\\ &\le 3|x_1-\phi(\hat{x})|^2+3|y_1-\phi(\hat{y})|^2+3\Lambda^2|\hat{x}-\hat{y}|^2,\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$|\hat{x}-\hat{y}|^2+|x_1-\phi(\hat{x})|^2+|y_1-\phi(\hat{y})|^2\ge\frac{1}{3(1+\Lambda^2)}\,|x'-y'|^2. \tag{43}$$

For $|\alpha|=1$ we derive from (41), (42) and (43) that

$$\begin{aligned}&|D_x^\alpha D_y^\beta D_{x_1}\big(\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}^{\mathcal{N}}(x,y;t,s)-\Gamma(x,y;t,s)\big)|\\ &\le\frac{C\,\mathcal{R}_{x,t-s}^{(\lambda^+-1)_-}}{(t-s)^{\frac{n+2+|\beta|}{2}}}\exp\Big(-\frac{\widetilde{\sigma}|x-y|^2}{t-s}\Big)\\ &\times\Big[\frac{(t-s)^{\frac12+\varepsilon}}{|x'|^{1+\varepsilon}\,r_x^{1+\varepsilon}\,|y'|^{\varepsilon}\,r_y^{\varepsilon}}+\frac{\mathcal{R}_{y,t-s}^{(\lambda^--1)_-}(t-s)^{\frac{|\beta|}{2}+\gamma}}{|x'|^{\gamma}\,r_x^{\gamma}\,|y'|^{|\beta|+\gamma}\,r_y^{|\beta|+\gamma}}\Big].\end{aligned}$$

Setting $\gamma=1$, we obtain (33) for $\alpha_1\ge1$.

To estimate derivatives for $|\alpha|=2$, $\alpha_1=0$, we use (32), (41) and (42) and write

$$\begin{aligned}&|D_x^\alpha D_y^\beta\big(\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}^{\mathcal{N}}(x,y;t,s)-\Gamma(x,y;t,s)\big)|\\ &\le\int\limits_{x_1}^{\infty}|D_x^\alpha D_y^\beta\mathcal{F}((\zeta,\hat{x},x''),y;t,s)|\,d\zeta\\ &\le\frac{C}{(t-s)^{\frac{n+2+|\beta|}{2}}}\cdot\Big(\mathcal{J}_1+\mathcal{J}_2\Big)\\ &\times\exp\Big(-\sigma_2\,\frac{|\hat{x}-\hat{y}|^2+|x''-y''|^2+|x_1-\phi(\hat{x})|^2+|y_1-\phi(\hat{y})|^2}{t-s}\Big),\\ &\le\frac{C}{(t-s)^{\frac{n+2+|\beta|}{2}}}\cdot\Big(\mathcal{J}_1+\mathcal{J}_2\Big)\exp\Big(-\frac{\widetilde{\sigma}|x-y|^2}{t-s}\Big)\end{aligned}$$

(the last inequality follows from (43)), where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{J}_1\ &=\frac{(t-s)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}}{|y'|^{\varepsilon}\,r_y^{\varepsilon}}\int\limits_{x_1}^{\infty}\exp\Big(-\frac{\sigma|\zeta-x_1|^2}{t-s}\Big)\,\Big(\frac{|\hat{x}|^2+\zeta^2}{|\hat{x}|^2+\zeta^2+(t-s)}\Big)^{\frac{(\lambda^+-2)_-}{2}}\\ &\times\Big(\frac{|\hat{x}|^2+\zeta^2}{(\zeta-\phi(\hat{x}))^2}\Big)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}\Big(\frac{t-s}{(\zeta-\phi(\hat{x}))^2}\Big)^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}}\frac{d\zeta}{\sqrt{t-s}},\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_2 \ &= \frac{\mathcal{R}_{y,t-s}^{(\lambda^- -1)_-}(t-s)^{\frac{|\beta|+\gamma}{2}}}{|y'|^{|\beta|+\gamma}\, r_y^{|\beta|+\gamma}} \int\limits_{x_1}^{\infty} \Big(\frac{|\hat{x}|^2+\zeta^2}{|\hat{x}|^2+\zeta^2+(t-s)}\Big)^{\frac{(\lambda^+ -2)_-}{2}} \\
&\times\Big(\frac{|\hat{x}|^2+\zeta^2}{(\zeta-\phi(\hat{x}))^2}\Big)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}\Big(\frac{t-s}{(\zeta-\phi(\hat{x}))^2}\Big)^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma|\zeta-x_1|^2}{t-s}\right)\,\frac{d\zeta}{\sqrt{t-s}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

We set $\gamma = 2$ and estimate these integrals by using Lemma 4. This again gives (33).

Since $\partial_s(\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}^{\mathcal{N}} - \Gamma)$ can be expressed via $D_y^2(\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}^{\mathcal{N}} - \Gamma)$, estimate (34) follows from (33). $\square$

3.3 Coercive estimates in $\widetilde{L}_{p,q}$ and $L_{p,q}$

Lemma 2. *Let* $\lambda_c^{\pm}$ *be the critical exponents and* $1 < p, q < \infty$*. Let also* μ *be subject to* (15)*. Then the integral operators with kernels*

$$
\mathcal{T}_4(x,y;t,s) = \frac{|x'|^{\mu}}{|y'|^{\mu}} D_x D_x\big(\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}^{\mathcal{N}}(x,y;t,s) - \Gamma(x,y;t,s)\big)
$$

are bounded in $\widetilde{L}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})$*.*

Proof. First, we choose $0 < \lambda^+ < \lambda_c^+$, $0 < \lambda^- < \lambda_c^-$ and $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{4}\min\{\frac{1}{p}, 1-\frac{1}{p}\}$ such that

$$
-\frac{m}{p} + (1-\lambda^+)_+ + 3\varepsilon < \mu < m - \frac{m}{p} - (1-\lambda^-)_+ - 2\varepsilon. \tag{44}
$$

Using (18) and (28) with $|\alpha| = 2$, $|\beta| = 0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{T}_4(x,y;t,s)| \le C\, &\frac{\mathcal{R}_{x,t-s}^{(\lambda^+ -1)_- -\varepsilon}\,\mathcal{R}_{y,t-s}^{(\lambda^- -1)_-}\,|x'|^{\mu+\varepsilon}}{(t-s)^{\frac{n+2+\varepsilon}{2}}\,|y'|^{\mu}} \\
\times \exp&\left(-\sigma\,\frac{|\hat{x}-\hat{y}|^2+|x''-y''|^2}{t-s}\right),
\end{aligned} \tag{45}
$$

while estimate (33) with $|\beta| = 0$ gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{T}_4(x,y;t,s)| &\le \frac{C\,\mathcal{R}_{x,t-s}^{(\lambda^+ -2)_-}\,|x'|^{\mu}}{(t-s)^{\frac{n+2}{2}}\,|y'|^{\mu}} \exp\Big(-\frac{\widetilde{\sigma}|x-y|^2}{t-s}\Big) \\
\times&\left[\frac{(t-s)^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}}{|x'|^{1+\varepsilon}\,r_x^{1+3\varepsilon}\,|y'|^{\varepsilon}\,r_y^{\varepsilon}} + \frac{\mathcal{R}_{y,t-s}^{(\lambda^- -1)_- -1}(t-s)}{|x'|\,r_x^{1+2\varepsilon}\,|y'|\,r_y^{2}}\right].
\end{aligned}
$$

Combination of these estimates gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{T}_4(x,y;t,s)| \le C\, & \frac{\mathcal{R}_{x,t-s}^{(\lambda^+-1)_- -2\varepsilon}\,\mathcal{R}_{y,t-s}^{(\lambda^- -1)_-}\ |x'|^{\mu-\varepsilon^2}}{(t-s)^{\frac{n+2-2\varepsilon^2}{2}}\ |y'|^{\mu+\varepsilon^2}\, r_x^{\varepsilon+3\varepsilon^2}\, r_y^{\varepsilon^2}} \exp\Big(-\frac{\varepsilon\widetilde{\sigma}|x-y|^2}{t-s}\Big) \\
+C\, & \frac{\mathcal{R}_{x,t-s}^{(\lambda^+-1)_- -2\varepsilon}\,\mathcal{R}_{y,t-s}^{(\lambda^- -1)_- -\varepsilon}\ |x'|^{\mu}}{(t-s)^{\frac{n+2-\varepsilon}{2}}\ |y'|^{\mu+\varepsilon}\, r_x^{\varepsilon+2\varepsilon^2}\, r_y^{2\varepsilon}} \exp\Big(-\frac{\varepsilon\widetilde{\sigma}|x-y|^2}{t-s}\Big). \qquad (46)
\end{aligned}
$$

The summands in (46) satisfy the conditions of Proposition 4
with $r = 2\varepsilon^2$, $\lambda_1 = -(1-\lambda^+)_+ - 2\varepsilon(1+\varepsilon)$, $\lambda_2 = -(1-\lambda^-)_+$, $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon(1+3\varepsilon)$, $\varepsilon_2 = \varepsilon^2$ and μ replaced by $\mu+\varepsilon^2$ for the first summand;
with $r = \varepsilon$, $\lambda_1 = -(1-\lambda^+)_+ - 3\varepsilon$, $\lambda_2 = -(1-\lambda^-)_+ - \varepsilon$, $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon(1+2\varepsilon)$, $\varepsilon_2 = 2\varepsilon$ and μ replaced by $\mu+\varepsilon$ for the second summand, respectively.
Note that the relation $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 > -m$ holds in both cases due to (44).

Similarly to the first part of the proof of Theorem 3, using Proposition 4 and generalized Riesz–Thorin theorem, we conclude that the operators $\mathcal{T}_4$ are bounded in $\widetilde{L}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})$ for $1 < p \le q < \infty$. For $q < p$ we proceed by duality argument. $\square$

Lemma 3. *Under assumptions of* Lemma 2 *the operators* $\mathcal{T}_4$ *are bounded in* $L_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})$.

Proof. We proceed as in the second part of the proof of Theorem 3. Let a function h be supported in the layer $|s - s^0| \le \delta$ and satisfy $\int h(y;s)\,ds \equiv 0$. Then

$$
(\mathcal{T}_4 h)(x;t) = \int\limits_{-\infty}^{t} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Big(\mathcal{T}_4(x,y;t,s) - \mathcal{T}_4(x,y;t,s^0)\Big)\, h(y;s)\,dyds.
$$

We choose $0 < \lambda^+ < \lambda_c^+$, $0 < \lambda^- < \lambda_c^-$ and $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{4}\min\{\frac{1}{p}, 1-\frac{1}{p}\}$ such that

$$
-\frac{m}{p} + (1-\lambda^+)_+ + 3\varepsilon < \mu < m - \frac{m}{p} - (1-\lambda^-)_+ - 4\varepsilon.
$$

Then, for $|s-s^0|<\delta$ and $t-s^0>2\delta$, estimate (34) with $|\beta|=0$ implies

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big|\mathcal{T}_4(x,y;t,s)-\mathcal{T}_4(x,y;t,s^0)\big| \le \int\limits_{s^0}^{s} |\partial_\tau \mathcal{T}_4(x,y;t,\tau)|\,d\tau\\
&\le \frac{C\,\mathcal{R}_{x,t-s}^{(\lambda^+-2)_-}\,|x'|^{\mu}}{(t-s)^{\frac{n+2}{2}}\,|y'|^{\mu}}\,\frac{\delta}{t-s}\exp\Big(-\frac{\widetilde{\sigma}|x-y|^2}{t-s}\Big)\\
&\times\Big[\frac{(t-s)^{\frac12+\varepsilon}}{|x'|^{1+\varepsilon}\,r_x^{1+3\varepsilon}\,|y'|^{\varepsilon}\,r_y^{\varepsilon}}+\frac{\mathcal{R}_{y,t-s}^{(\lambda^--1)_--1}(t-s)^2}{|x'|\,r_x^{1+2\varepsilon}\,|y'|^3\,r_y^4}\Big].
\end{aligned}$$

Combining this estimate with (45), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big|\mathcal{T}_4(x,y;t,s)-\mathcal{T}_4(x,y;t,s^0)\big| \\
&\le C\,\frac{\delta^{\varepsilon}\,\mathcal{R}_{x,t-s}^{(\lambda^+-1)_--2\varepsilon}\,\mathcal{R}_{y,t-s}^{(\lambda^--1)_-}\,|x'|^{\mu-\varepsilon^2}}{(t-s)^{\frac{n+2-2\varepsilon^2}{2}+\varepsilon}\,|y'|^{\mu+\varepsilon^2}\,r_x^{\varepsilon+3\varepsilon^2}\,r_y^{\varepsilon^2}}\exp\Big(-\frac{\varepsilon\widetilde{\sigma}|x-y|^2}{t-s}\Big)\\
&+C\,\frac{\delta^{\varepsilon}\,\mathcal{R}_{x,t-s}^{(\lambda^+-1)_--2\varepsilon}\,\mathcal{R}_{y,t-s}^{(\lambda^--1)_--\varepsilon}\,|x'|^{\mu}}{(t-s)^{\frac{n+2-3\varepsilon}{2}+\varepsilon}\,|y'|^{\mu+3\varepsilon}\,r_x^{\varepsilon+2\varepsilon^2}\,r_y^{4\varepsilon}}\exp\Big(-\frac{\varepsilon\widetilde{\sigma}|x-y|^2}{t-s}\Big).
\end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

The summands in the right-hand side of (47) satisfy the conditions of Proposition 5 with $\varkappa=\varepsilon$ and
with $r=2\varepsilon^2$, $\lambda_1=-(1-\lambda^+)_+-2\varepsilon(1+\varepsilon)$, $\lambda_2=-(1-\lambda^-)_+$, $\varepsilon_1=\varepsilon(1+3\varepsilon)$, $\varepsilon_2=\varepsilon^2$ and μ replaced by $\mu+\varepsilon^2$ for the first summand;
with $r=3\varepsilon$, $\lambda_1=-(1-\lambda^+)_+-5\varepsilon$, $\lambda_2=-(1-\lambda^-)_+-\varepsilon$, $\varepsilon_1=\varepsilon(1+2\varepsilon)$, $\varepsilon_2=4\varepsilon$ and μ replaced by $\mu+3\varepsilon$ for the second summand, respectively.

Applying Proposition 5, we obtain the second condition in [1, Theorem 3.8], while Lemma 2 for $q=p$ gives the first condition in this theorem. Therefore, Theorem 3.8 [1] ensures that the operators $\mathcal{T}_4$ are bounded in $L_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R})$ for any $1<q<p<\infty$. For $q>p$ the statement follows by duality arguments. ☐

Now we are in position to prove the main result of our paper.

Proof of Theorem 2. The estimate of the last terms in the left-hand sides of (16) and (17) is equivalent to the boundedness of integral operators with kernels

$$\frac{|x'|^{\mu}}{|y'|^{\mu}}D_xD_x\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}^{\mathcal{N}}(x,y;t,s)$$

in $\widetilde{L}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R})$ and $L_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R})$, respectively. The first statement follows from Lemma 2 and Theorem 3, the second one – form Lemma 3 and Theorem 3. The first terms in (16) and in (17) are estimated by using equation (1), and Theorem follows.

4 Appendix

Lemma 4. *Let ϕ be a function on $\mathbb{R}_+$ such that*

$$|\phi(\zeta)|\le\Lambda|\zeta|\qquad\textit{for all}\quad\zeta\in\mathbb{R}_+.$$

Let ϱ_1, $\varrho_2>0$, $x_1>\phi(\varrho_1)$, $y_1\in\mathbb{R}$, a, b, $c\ge0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\int\limits_{x_1}^{\infty}&\Big(\frac{\varrho_1+|\zeta|}{\varrho_1+\varrho_2+|\zeta|}\Big)^{-a}\Big(\frac{\varrho_1+|\zeta|}{\zeta-\phi(\varrho_1)}\Big)^{b}\Big(\frac{\varrho_2}{\zeta-\phi(\varrho_1)}\Big)^{c}\\ &\times\exp\left(-\frac{|\zeta-y_1|^2}{\varrho_2^2}\right)\frac{d\zeta}{\varrho_2}\le C\Big(\frac{x_1-\phi(\varrho_1)}{\varrho_1+|x_1|}\Big)^{(1-b-c-\varepsilon)_-}\\ &\times\begin{cases}\Big(\dfrac{\varrho_1+|x_1|}{\varrho_1+\varrho_2+|x_1|}\Big)^{-a}\Big(\dfrac{\varrho_1+|x_1|}{\varrho_2}\Big)^{1-c}, & \text{if}\quad c>1;\\ \Big(\dfrac{\varrho_1+|x_1|}{\varrho_1+\varrho_2+|x_1|}\Big)^{-(a+c+\varepsilon-1)_+}\Big(\dfrac{\varrho_1+\varrho_2+|x_1|}{\varrho_2}\Big)^{\min\{b,1-c\}}, & \text{if}\quad c\le1.\end{cases}\end{aligned}\tag{48}$$

Here ε is arbitrary small positive number while C may depend on a, b, c, Λ and ε.

Proof. Case 1: $x_1\ge2\Lambda\varrho_1$. Then $\varrho_1+|\zeta|\asymp\zeta-\phi(\varrho_1)$ for $\zeta\ge x_1$, and integral is estimated by

$$\begin{aligned}C&\int\limits_{x_1}^{\infty}\Big(\frac{\varrho_1+|\zeta|}{\varrho_1+\varrho_2+|\zeta|}\Big)^{-a}\Big(\frac{\varrho_2}{\zeta}\Big)^{c}\exp\left(-\frac{|\zeta-y_1|^2}{\varrho_2^2}\right)\frac{d\zeta}{\varrho_2}\\ \le C&\int\limits_{\frac{x_1}{\varrho_2}}^{\infty}\Big(\frac{\xi}{\xi+1}\Big)^{-a}\xi^{-c}\exp\left(-\big|\xi-\frac{y_1}{\varrho_2}\big|^2\right)d\xi\\ \le C&\Big(\Big(\frac{x_1}{\varrho_2}\Big)^{(1-c-\varepsilon)_-}+\Big(\frac{x_1}{\varrho_2}\Big)^{(1-a-c-\varepsilon)_-}\Big),\end{aligned}$$

which gives (48) in the case 1.

Case 2: $x_1 \le 2\Lambda\varrho_1$ is divided into two subcases.

Subcase 2.1: $\varrho_1 \le \varrho_2$. Then we split the interval (x_1, ∞) into two parts. The integral over $(2\Lambda\varrho_1, \infty)$ is estimated in the same way as in the case 1. Further, the integral over $(x_1, 2\Lambda\varrho_1)$ is estimated by

$$C\Big(\frac{\varrho_1}{\varrho_1+\varrho_2}\Big)^{-a}\int\limits_{x_1}^{2\Lambda\varrho_1}\Big(\frac{\varrho_1}{\zeta-\phi(\varrho_1)}\Big)^b\Big(\frac{\varrho_2}{\zeta-\phi(\varrho_1)}\Big)^c\frac{d\zeta}{\varrho_2} \le C\Big(\frac{\varrho_1}{\varrho_1+\varrho_2}\Big)^{-a}$$

$$\times\int\limits_{\frac{x_1-\phi(\varrho_1)}{\varrho_2}}^{\frac{3\Lambda\varrho_1}{\varrho_2}}\Big(\frac{\varrho_1}{\xi\varrho_2}\Big)^b\xi^{-c}\,d\xi \le C\Big(\frac{\varrho_1}{\varrho_1+\varrho_2}\Big)^{-a}\Big(\frac{\varrho_1}{\varrho_2}\Big)^{1-c}\Big(\frac{x_1-\phi(\varrho_1)}{\varrho_1}\Big)^{(1-b-c-\varepsilon)_-},$$

which gives (48) in the subcase 2.1.

Subcase 2.2: $\varrho_1 \ge \varrho_2$. Then $\varrho_1 + |\zeta| \asymp \varrho_1 + \varrho_2 + |\zeta|$ for $\zeta \ge x_1$, and the integral is estimated by

$$C\int\limits_{x_1}^{\infty}\Big(\frac{\varrho_1+|\zeta|}{\zeta-\phi(\varrho_1)}\Big)^b\Big(\frac{\varrho_2}{\zeta-\phi(\varrho_1)}\Big)^c\exp\Big(-\frac{|\zeta-y_1|^2}{\varrho_2^2}\Big)\frac{d\zeta}{\varrho_2}$$

$$\le C\int\limits_{\frac{x_1-\phi(\varrho_1)}{\varrho_2}}^{\infty}\Big(1+\frac{\varrho_1}{\xi\varrho_2}\Big)^b\xi^{-c}\exp\Big(-\Big|\xi-\frac{y_1+\phi(\varrho_1)}{\varrho_2}\Big|^2\Big)\,d\xi \le C\Big(\frac{\varrho_1}{\varrho_2}\Big)^b$$

$$\times\Big(\frac{x_1-\phi(\varrho_1)}{\varrho_2}\Big)^{(1-b-c-\varepsilon)_-} \le C\Big(\frac{x_1-\phi(\varrho_1)}{\varrho_1}\Big)^{(1-b-c-\varepsilon)_-}\Big(\frac{\varrho_1}{\varrho_2}\Big)^{\min\{b,1-c\}},$$

which gives (48) in the subcase 2.2. ☐

Lemma 5. *Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varrho_1, \varrho_2 > 0$ and a, $b > -d$, $a+b > -d$. Then*

$$\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d}\exp\Big(-\frac{|x-z|^2}{\varrho_1}\Big)\exp\Big(-\frac{|z-y|^2}{\varrho_2}\Big)\Big(\frac{|z|}{|z|+\sqrt{\varrho_1}}\Big)^a\Big(\frac{|z|}{|z|+\sqrt{\varrho_2}}\Big)^b d\zeta$$

$$\le C\,\frac{\varrho_1^{\frac{d+b_-}{2}}\varrho_2^{\frac{d+a_-}{2}}}{(\varrho_1+\varrho_2)^{\frac{d+a_-+b_-}{2}}}\exp\Big(-\frac{|x-y|^2}{\varrho_1+\varrho_2}\Big), \tag{49}$$

where C may depend on a and b.

Proof. (i) The case $a, b \geq 0$ is well known.

(ii) Let $a, b < 0$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $\varrho_1 \geq \varrho_2$. Then the integral over the set $\{|z| > \sqrt{\varrho_2}\}$ in (49) is estimated by

$$\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d} \exp\Big(-\frac{|x-z|^2}{\varrho_1}\Big) \exp\Big(-\frac{|y-z|^2}{\varrho_2}\Big) \Big(\frac{\sqrt{\varrho_2}}{\sqrt{\varrho_2}+\sqrt{\varrho_1}}\Big)^a dz.$$

The last expression does not exceed the right-hand side of (49) by *(i)*.

Since

$$|x-y|^2 \leq \frac{\varrho_1+\varrho_2}{\varrho_1}\,|x-z|^2 + \frac{\varrho_1+\varrho_2}{\varrho_2}\,|y-z|^2,$$

the integral over the set $\{|z| < \sqrt{\varrho_2}\}$ is estimated by

$$\begin{aligned} &C \exp\Big(-\frac{|x-y|^2}{\varrho_1+\varrho_2}\Big) \int\limits_{|z|<\sqrt{\varrho_2}} \Big(\frac{|z|}{\sqrt{\varrho_2}+\sqrt{\varrho_1}}\Big)^a \Big(\frac{|z|}{\sqrt{\varrho_2}}\Big)^b dz \\ &\leq C \exp\Big(-\frac{|x-y|^2}{\varrho_1+\varrho_2}\Big) \frac{\varrho_2^{\frac{d+a}{2}}}{(\varrho_1+\varrho_2)^{\frac{a}{2}}}. \end{aligned}$$

The last expression also does not exceed the right-hand side of (49).

(iii) The remaining cases can be easily reduced to the case *(ii)*. □

We formulate two auxiliary results on estimates of integral operators. The first statement is proved in [7, Lemmas A.1 and A.3 and Remark A.2] for $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2 = 0$, see also [10, Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2]. In general case the proof runs in the same way by using [8, Lemma A.3].

Proposition 4. *Let* $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $\sigma > 0$, $0 < r \leq 2$, $0 \leq \varepsilon_1 < \frac{1}{p}$, $0 \leq \varepsilon_2 < 1 - \frac{1}{p}$, $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 > -m$, *and let*

$$-\frac{m}{p} - \lambda_1 < \mu < m - \frac{m}{p} + \lambda_2. \tag{50}$$

Suppose also that the kernel $\mathcal{T}(x, y; t, s)$ *satisfies the inequality*

$$|\mathcal{T}(x, y; t, s)| \leq C\, \frac{\mathcal{R}_{x,t-s}^{\lambda_1+r} \mathcal{R}_{y,t-s}^{\lambda_2} |x'|^{\mu-r}}{(t-s)^{\frac{n+2-r}{2}} |y'|^{\mu}\, r_x^{\varepsilon_1} r_y^{\varepsilon_2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma|x-y|^2}{t-s}\right),$$

for $t > s$. *Then the integral operator* $\mathcal{T}$ *is bounded in* $L_p(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})$ *and in* $\widetilde{L}_{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})$.

The next proposition is [8, Lemma A.4].

Proposition 5. *Let* $1 < p < \infty$, $\sigma > 0$, $\varkappa > 0$, $0 \le r \le 2$, $0 \le \varepsilon_1 < \frac{1}{p}$, $0 \le \varepsilon_2 < 1-\frac{1}{p}$, $\lambda_1+\lambda_2 > -m$ *and let* μ *be subject to* (50). *Also let the kernel* $\mathcal{T}(x,y;t,s)$ *satisfy the inequality*

$$|\mathcal{T}(x,y;t,s)| \le C\,\frac{\delta^{\varkappa}\,\mathcal{R}_x^{\lambda_1+r}\mathcal{R}_y^{\lambda_2}\,|x'|^{\mu-r}}{(t-s)^{\frac{n+2-r}{2}+\varkappa}\,|y'|^{\mu}\,r_x^{\varepsilon_1}r_y^{\varepsilon_2}}\exp\left(-\frac{\sigma|x-y|^2}{t-s}\right),$$

for $x, y \in \mathcal{K}$ *and* $t > s+\delta$. *Then for any* $s^0 > 0$ *the norm of the operator*

$$\mathcal{T}\ :\ L_{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^n \times\ (s^0-\delta, s^0+\delta))\ \to\ L_{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^n \times\ (s^0+2\delta,\infty))$$

does not exceed a constant C *independent of* δ *and* s^0.

We thank S. V. Kislyakov for useful discussions. V. K. was supported by the Swedish Research Council (VR). A. N. was supported by RFBR grant 15-01-07650 and by St. Petersburg University grant 6.38.670.2013. He also acknowledges the Linköping University for the financial support of his visit in February 2015.

References

[1] Besov, O.V.; Il'in, V.P.; Nikol'skii, S.M., *Integral representations of functions and imbedding theorems*, ed.2, Moscow, Nauka, 1996 (Russian); English transl. of the 1st ed.: Vol. 1. Scripta Series in Math. Edited by M.H. Taibleson. V. H. Winston & Sons, Washington, D.C.; Halsted Press, New York-Toronto, Ont.-London, 1978.

[2] Hongjie Dong, Doyoon Kim, Hong Zhang, Neumann problem for non-divergence elliptic and parabolic equations with BMO_x coefficients in weighted Sobolev spaces, arXiv:1502.05594.

[3] Gradshteyn, I.S.; Ryzhik, I.M., *Tables of integrals, sums, series and products*, ed.5th, Moscow, Nauka, 1971 (Russian); English transl.: Table of integrals, series, and products. Corr. and enl. ed. by Alan Jeffrey. New York – London – Toronto: Academic Press (Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers), 1980.

[4] Fefferman, R., *A^p weights and singular integrals*, Amer. J. Math. **110** (1988), 975–987.

[5] Krylov, N.V., *The heat equation in $L_q((0,T),L_p)$-spaces with weights*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **32** (2001), N5, 1117–1141.

[6] Krylov, N.V. *The Calderón-Zygmund theorem and its applications to parabolic equations*, Alg. Anal. **13** (2001), N4, 1–25 (Russian); English transl.: St. Petersburg Math. J. **13** (2002), N4, 509–526.

[7] Kozlov, V.A.; Nazarov, A.I., *The Dirichlet problem for non-divergence parabolic equations with discontinuous in time coefficients*, Math. Nachr. **282** (2009), N9, 1220–1241.

[8] Kozlov, V.A.; Nazarov, A.I., *The Dirichlet problem for non-divergence parabolic equations with discontinuous in time coefficients in a wedge*, Math. Nachr. **287** (2014), N10, 1142–1165.

[9] Kozlov, V.A.; Nazarov, A.I., *Oblique derivative problem for non-divergence parabolic equations with time-discontinuous coefficients*, Proc. St. Petersburg Math. Soc. **XV**; AMS Transl. Series 2, **232** (2014), 177–191.

[10] Nazarov, A.I., *L_p-estimates for a solution to the Dirichlet problem and to the Neumann problem for the heat equation in a wedge with edge of arbitrary codimension*, Probl. Mat. Anal., **22** (2001), 126–159 (Russian); English transl.: J. Math. Sci., **106** (2001), N3, 2989–3014.

[11] Triebel, H., *Interpolation theory, Function spaces, differential operators*, DVW, Berlin, 1977.